

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,631 12/18/2000		12/18/2000	Christopher Patrick	QCPA990347	5613
23696	7590	09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
QUALCON 5775 MORE		ORPORATED	WANG, TED M		
SAN DIEGO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2611	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1
,	1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/741,631	PATRICK, CHRISTOPHER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ted M. Wang	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to  cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	<u>ly 2006</u> .					
, —						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-15,17-21,23 and 24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-15,17-21,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2000 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
C Detect and Tendemork Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 07/24/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 under 35 USC § 103(a) has been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Gronemeyer (US 6,304,216).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison et al. (US 5,752,218) in view of Gronemeyer (US 6,304,216).
  - With regard claims 1 and 8, as shown in figures 1-6, Harrison et al. discloses a method comprising:

determining a code phase of each among a plurality of received signals, wherein said received signals are GPS (column 6, line 47-column 8, line 58); and transmitting a propagation time difference of received signals (column 7,

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631

Art Unit: 2611

lines 16-30, column 8, line 24-column 10, line 34 and abstract).

Harrison et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals.

However, Gronemeyer teaches a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals (Fig.7 element CP1 162, CP2 174 and column 8 line 62 –column 9 line 64, especially, column 9 lines 39-64) in order to improve the signal to noise ration so that the range of the satellite is accurately determined (column 5 lines 44-59).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the method as taught by

Gronemeyer in which determine a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals, in addition to Harrisons' propagation time difference determination method so as to improve the signal to noise ration so that the range of the satellite is accurately determined.

□ With regard claims 15, 21 and 23, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 1, as shown in figures 1-6, Harrison et al. discloses an apparatus further comprising:

a receiver (Figure 1 element 2) configured to receive a plurality of signals; a correlator (for example, Fig.1 element 8) configured to determine a code phase for each among the plurality of received signals (column 6, lines 3-58, and column 6, line 47-column 8, line 58); and

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631

Art Unit: 2611

With regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Harrison et al. further discloses wherein
 each among the plurality of received signals has a corresponding periodic code
 (Gold code, column 6, line 51-67), and

wherein each among the code phases relates to a predetermined position within the corresponding periodic code (column 6, line 51-58).

- □ With regarding claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 18 and 19, Harrison et al. further discloses wherein each among the plurality of received signals is based at least in part on a corresponding direct-sequence spread spectrum modulated signal (column 6, lines 3-13).
- □ With regard claims 6 and 13, Harrison et al. further discloses the method further comprising receiving a composite signal, wherein each among the plurality of received signals is based at least in part on at least a portion of the composite signal (column 6, lines 13-41).
- □ With regard claims 7, 14 and 20, Harrison et al. further discloses

wherein the determining a code phase of each among a plurality of received signals comprises calculating a correlation, for each among the plurality of received signals, between a corresponding code sequence and a signal based at least in part on the composite signal (column 6, lines 3-58, and column 6, line 47-column 8, line 58),

wherein each among the plurality of received signals has a corresponding periodic code (Gold code, column 6, line 51-67), and

wherein each among the code phases relates to a corresponding

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

predetermined position within the corresponding periodic code, and wherein the code sequence relates at least in part to the corresponding periodic code (Gold code, column 6, line 51-67).

□ With regard claim 24, Harrison et al. further discloses

a reference receiver (Fig.1 and 1B element 16 and column 6 lines 14-22 and 42-46) configured to receive signals from a plurality of space vehicles (Fig.1 element 12 and column 6 lines 23-32) and transmit information; and

a field receiver (Fig.1 and 1A element 14 and column 6 lines 14-22 and 33-40) configures to receive signals from a plurality of space vehicles (Fig.1 element 12 and column 6 lines 23-32) and to receive the information,

wherein the reference receiver determines a reference code phase for each among at least a first and a second one of the signals, and

wherein the field receiver determines a field code phase for the first one of the signals, and

wherein the filed receiver determines a field code phase for the second one of the signals at least in part from the information (column 8, line 65-column 10, line 34).

Harrison et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals.

However, Gronemeyer teaches a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals (Fig.7 element

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

CP1 162, CP2 174 and column 8 line 62 –column 9 line 64, especially, column 9 lines 39-64) in order to improve the signal to noise ration so that the range of the satellite is accurately determined (column 5 lines 44-59).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the method as taught by

Gronemeyer in which determine a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals, in addition to Harrisons' propagation time difference determination method so as to improve the signal to noise ration so that the range of the satellite is accurately determined.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611

Ted M. Wang